

Printed from

# Journal of Scientific Research

---

<http://www.univ-bechar.dz/jrs/>

## Equalization and Encoding Blocks Setting for Coded Transmission Using UTTCM

Abdesselam Bassou<sup>1</sup>, Abdelhafid Hasni<sup>1</sup>, Chems Elhouda Allaoui<sup>1</sup>, Abdelmounaïm Moulay Lakhdar<sup>1</sup>,  
Abdelmalik Taleb-Ahmed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Technology, University of Bechar, Algeria*

<sup>2</sup> *LAMIH Laboratory CNRS 8530, University of Valenciennes & Hainaut Cambrésis, Le Mont Houy, Valenciennes,  
France*

Published on 20 July 2014

The Editor, on behalf of the Editorial Board and Reviewers, has great pleasure in presenting this number of the Journal of Scientific Research. This journal (ISSN 2170-1237) is a periodic and multidisciplinary journal, published by the University of Bechar. This journal is located at the interface of research journals, and the vulgarization journals in the field of scientific research. It publishes quality articles in the domain of basic and applied sciences, technologies and humanities sciences, where the main objective is to coordinate and disseminate scientific and technical information relating to various disciplines.

The research articles and the development must be original and contribute innovative, helping in the development of new and advanced technologies, like the studies that have concrete ideas which are of primary interest in mastering a contemporary scientific concepts. Actually, the JRS is indexed in **Google Scholar**, **Universal Impact Factor**, **Research Bible**, **DRJI**, **Scientific Indexing Services**, **Global Impact Factor (with GIF=0,632 in 2012)** and **Index-Copernicus (with 2012 ICV : 4.87 points)**. The journal is obtainable in electronic form, which is available worldwide on the Internet and can be accessed at the journal URL:

<http://www.univ-bechar.dz/jrs/>.

**Director of Journal**  
Pr. BELGHACHI Abderrahmane

**Editor in Chief**  
Dr. HASNI Abdelhafid

**Co-Editor in Chief**  
Pr. BASSOU Abdesselam

### Editorial Member

TERFAYA Nazihe  
BOUIDA Ahmed  
LATFAOUI Mohieddine  
MOSTADI Siham

## Reviewers board of the Journal.

Pr. KADRY SEIFEDINE (The American University in KUWAIT)  
Pr. RAZZAQ GHUMMAN Abdul ( Al Qassim University KSA)  
Pr. PK. MD. MOTIUR RAHMAN (University of Dhaka Bangladesh)  
Pr. MAHMOOD GHAZAW Yousry ( Al Qassim University KSA)  
Pr. RAOUS Michel (Laboratory of Mechanic and Acoustic France)  
Pr. RATAN Y. Borse ( M S G College Malegaon Camp India)  
Pr. LEBON Frédéric (University of Aix-Marseille 1 France)  
Pr. MONGI Ben Ouédou (National Engineering School of Tunis)  
Pr. BOUKELIF Aoued (University of Sidi Bel Abbes Algeria)  
Pr. DJORDJEVICH Alexandar (University of Hong Kong)  
Pr. BENABBASSI Abdelhakem (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. BOULARD Thierry (National Institute of Agronomic Research France)  
Pr. LUCA Varani (University of Montpellier France)  
Pr. NEBBOU Mohamed (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. LABBACI Boudjemaa (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. DJERMANE Mohammed (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. BASSOU Abdesselam (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. ABOU-BEKR Nabil (Universit of Tlemcen Algeria)  
Pr. TAMALI Mohamed (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. ABDELAZIZ Yazid (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. BERGA Abdelmadjid (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. Rachid KHALFAOUI (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Dr. FELLAH Zine El Abidine Laboratory of Mechanic and Acoustic France)  
Dr. ZHEN Gao (University of Ontario Institute of Technology Canada)  
Dr. OUERDACHI Lahbassi (University of Annaba Algeria)  
Dr. HADJ ABDELKADER Hicham (IBISC – University of Evry France)  
Dr. KARRAY M'HAMED ALI (National Engineering School of Tunis)  
Dr. ALLAL Mohammed Amine (University of Tlemcen Algeria)  
Dr. FOUCHAL Fazia (GEMH - University of Limoges France)  
Dr. TORRES Jeremi (University of Montpellier 2 France)  
Dr. CHANDRAKANT Govindrao Dighavka (L. V. H. College of Panchavati India)  
Dr. ABID Chérifa (Polytech' University of Aix-Marseille France)  
Dr. HAMMADI Fodil (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Dr. BENSAFI Abd-El-Hamid (University of Tlemcen)  
Dr. BENBACHIR Maamar (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Dr. BOUNOUA Abdennacer (University of Sidi bel abbes Algeria)  
Dr. FAZALUL RAHIMAN Mohd Hafiz (University of Malaysia)  
Dr. TIWARI Shashank Amity University Lucknow (India)

Pr. BALBINOT Alexandre (Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul Brazil)  
Pr. TEHIRICHI Mohamed (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. JAIN GOTAN (Materials Research Lab., A.C.S. College, Nandgaon India)  
Pr. SAIDANE Abdelkader (ENSET Oran Algeria)  
Pr. DI GIAMBERARDINO Paolo (University of Rome « La Sapienza » Italy)  
Pr. SENGOUGA Nouredine (University of Biskra Algeria)  
Pr. CHERITI Abdelkarim (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. MEDALE Marc (University of Aix-Marseille France)  
Pr. HELMAOUI Abderrachid (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. HAMOUINE Abdelmadjid (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. DRAOUI Belkacem (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. BELGHACHI Abderrahmane (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. SHAILENDHRA Karthikeyan (AMRITA School of Engineering India)  
Pr. BURAK Barutcu (University of Istanbul Turkey)  
Pr. LAOUFI Abdallah (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. Ahmed Farouk ELSAFTY (American University of the Middle East Kuwait)  
Pr. Sohrab MIRSAEIDI (Centre of Electrical Energy Systems Malaysia)  
Pr. SELLAM Mebrouk (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. BELBOUKHARI Nasser (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Pr. BENACHAIBA Chellali (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Dr. ABDUL RAHIM Ruzairi (University Technology of Malaysia)  
Dr. CHIQR EL MEZOUAR Zouaoui (University of Bechar Algeria)  
Dr. KAMECHE Mohamed (Centre des Techniques Spatiales, Oran Algeria)  
Dr. MERAD Lotfi (Ecole Préparatoire en Sciences et Techniques Tlemcen Algeria)  
Dr. SANJAY KHER Sanjay (Raja Ramanna Centre for Advanced Technology INDIA)  
Dr. BOUCHAHM Nora (Centre de Recherche Scientifique et Technique sur les Régions Arides Biskra)  
Dr. Fateh Mebarek-OUUDINA (University of Skikda Algeria)

**Journal of Scientific Research**  
**University of Bechar**  
P.O.Box 417 route de Kenadsa  
08000 Bechar - ALGERIA  
Tel: +213 (0) 49 81 90 24  
Fax: +213 (0) 49 81 52 44  
Editorial mail: [jrs.bechar@gmail.com](mailto:jrs.bechar@gmail.com)  
Submission mail: [submission.bechar@gmail.com](mailto:submission.bechar@gmail.com)  
Web: <http://www.univ-bechar.dz/jrs/>

# Equalization and Encoding Blocks Setting for Coded Transmission Using UTTCM

Abdesselam Bassou<sup>1</sup>, Abdelhafid Hasni<sup>1</sup>, Chems Elhouda Allaoui<sup>1</sup>, Abdelmounaïm Moulay Lakhdar<sup>1</sup>, Abdelmalik Taleb-Ahmed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Technology, University of Bechar, Algeria

<sup>2</sup> LAMIH Laboratory CNRS 8530, University of Valenciennes & Hainaut Cambrésis, Le Mont Houy, Valenciennes, France

**Abstract** – The aim of this paper is to optimize the parameters of the transmission blocks. We are interested to the channel encoding block named “Unpunctured Turbo Trellis-Coded Modulation” (UTTCM), and the channel equalization block where “Zero-Forcing” (ZF) and “Minimum Mean Square Error” (MMSE) equalizers. The optimization of the parameters of equalization and encoding blocks is based on simulations of transmission chain over selective frequency channel.

**Keywords:** UTTCM, Proakis B channel, MMSE equalizer, parameters' optimization

## I. Introduction

In digital communication system, given in Fig. 1 [1], every element is optimized to one purpose: having the best performance according to a chosen transmission channel. Considering a selective frequency channel, a block named “Equalizer” is added; its role is to minimize the effect of “Inter-Symbol Interferences” (ISI) [1].




Figure 1. Digital communication system.

In this paper, two elements of the transmission chain are studied: 1) channel encoder represented by a TCM-based turbo encoder called “Unpunctured Turbo Trellis-Coded Modulation” (UTTCM) [2], and 2) linear equalizer represented by a digital filter obtained by two methods: “Zero-Forcing” (ZF) equalizer and “Minimum Mean Square Error” (MMSE) Equalizer [1].

The necessity to introduce the equalization into the reception part of the transmission chain was largely exposed in the literature. As practical utilization, we find the MMSE-Time-domain Equalizer (MMSE-TEQ) which is used in Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line ADSL [3] to inverse the effect of transmission channel; and Minimum Likelihood Sequence Equalization (MLSE) which is used in Global System for Mobile communication (GSM) channel [4].

UTTCM encoder was proposed in 2007, and its design was improved in 2013 [2]. Over AWGN channel, this

encoder permits to approach Shannon limit by approximately 1 dB for throughputs of 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 bi<sup>2</sup>ts per symbol (bps) and outperforms both Parallel Concatenated Trellis-Coded Modulation (PCTCM [5]) and Turbo Trellis-Coded Modulation (TTCM [6]).

In order to investigate the performance of UTTCM encoder over frequency selective channel, theoretical Proakis B channel is considered. This channel is shown as a good example for its short impulse response (three coefficients) and its hard selectivity.

## II. Setting of UTTCM Codec Parameters

The UTTCM turbo encoder, as presented in [2] and shown in Fig. 2, is a parallel concatenation of two  $2^V$ -state rate  $m / m + 1$  convolutional encoders [7] separated by a random interleaver ( $v$  denotes the memory order of the convolutional encoders). At the mapper input, the mapped bits are: 1) the  $m$  deinterleaved input bits, 2) the parity bit obtained from the convolutional encoder  $C_1$ , and 3) the parity bit obtained from the convolutional encoder  $C_2$  after interleaving the  $m$  input bits.




Figure 2. Turbo encoding scheme of UTTCM.

In order to achieve best performance of the encoding scheme, two mapping techniques were proposed (shown in Fig. 3). When the first mapping technique adopts Gray mapping, the second combines both naturel mapping and Gray mapping and called Ungerboeck-Gray mapping [8]. For a chosen mapping technique, an exhaustive search in the trellis of the convolutional encoders permits to obtain the best encoders' generator polynomials ( $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_m$ ) that achieve the highest square free Euclidean distance ( $d_f^2$ ) [7].

| (a) |    |    |    | (b) |    |    |    |
|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|
| 12  | 13 | 9  | 8  | 8   | 9  | 13 | 12 |
| 14  | 15 | 11 | 10 | 10  | 11 | 15 | 14 |
| 2   | 3  | 7  | 6  | 2   | 3  | 7  | 6  |
| 0   | 1  | 5  | 4  | 0   | 1  | 5  | 4  |

Figure 3. Labeling for 16-QAM constellation. (a) Ungerboeck-Gray mapping, (b) Gray mapping.

In table 1, the best 8-state rate  $m/m+1$  constituent encoders' generator polynomials are given for a throughput of  $m = 2, 3$  and 4 bps, and Gray mapping [2].

| $M$ | Generator polynomials |       |       |       |       | Mapping | $d_f^2$ |
|-----|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
|     | $h_0$                 | $h_1$ | $h_2$ | $h_3$ | $h_4$ |         |         |
| 2   | 13                    | 11    | 05    | -     | -     | 16-QAM  | 3.60    |
| 3   | 13                    | 11    | 05    | 13    | -     | 32-QAM  | 0.40    |
| 4   | 13                    | 11    | 05    | 03    | 16    | 64-QAM  | 0.86    |

Table 1. Best 8-state rate  $m/m+1$  constituent encoders' generator polynomials

It can be observed from table 1 that the polynomials  $h_0, h_1$  and  $h_2$  are the same for all considered throughputs; which means that starting from generator polynomials of throughput 2 bps, we simplify the search time for throughputs 3 and 4 bps by choosing the first polynomials equal to those of throughput 2 bps.

Because of its reduced complexity and highest free distance, the considered throughput for simulation is 2 bps.

The decoder of UTTCM, depicted in Fig. 4, is a serial concatenation of two constituent symbol-by-symbol Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) decoders. The iterative decoding process is based, as explained for TTCM in [6], on transferring the (extrinsic & systematic) information between both constituent decoders.

Considering the total received sequence of length  $\underline{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ , each constituent decoder has to compute, at each step  $k$ , the probability  $\Pr\{d_k = i | \underline{y}\}$  ( $i \in \{0, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$ ) given by [6]

$$\Pr\{d_k = i | \underline{y}\} = \text{const} \sum_M \sum_{M'} \gamma_i(y_k, M', M) \cdot \alpha_{k-1}(M') \cdot \beta_k(M) \quad (1)$$




Figure 4. Iterative decoding scheme of UTTCM.

where,  $d_k$  is a group of  $m$  information bits at step  $k$ ,  $\alpha_{k-1}(M')$  is the forward variable,  $\beta_k(M)$  is the backward information and  $\gamma_i(y_k, M', M)$  is the branch transition probability of the constituent encoder trellis given by

$$\gamma_i(y_k, M', M) = p(y_k | d_k = i, S_k = M, S_{k-1} = M') \cdot q(d_k = i | S_k = M, S_{k-1} = M') \cdot \Pr\{S_k = M | S_{k-1} = M'\}. \quad (2)$$

$S_k$  is the state, at step  $k$ , of constituent encoder trellis and the constant (const) in (1) can be eliminated by normalizing the sum of (1) over all  $i$  to unity.

According to the decoding process given in Fig. 4, the probability given in (1) can be represented, in logarithm domain, by the sum of two terms: the a priori component  $L_a(d_k = i)$ , and the (extrinsic & systematic) component  $L_{e\&s}(d_k = i)$  given by

$$L_a(d_k = i) = \log \Pr\{d_k = i\} \quad (3)$$

$$L_{e\&s}(d_k = i) = \log \Pr\{d_k = i | \underline{y}\} - L_a(d_k = i) \quad (4)$$

In UTTCM decoder, the iterative decoding process is as follow: the (extrinsic & systematic) term ( $L_{e\&s}$ ) generated at the output of the constituent decoder DEC<sub>1</sub> (respectively DEC<sub>2</sub>) will be considered as a priori term ( $L_a$ ) of the constituent decoder DEC<sub>2</sub> (respectively DEC<sub>1</sub>), except for the very first decoding stage, where the constituent decoder DEC<sub>1</sub> sees at his input the (parity & systematic) term ( $L_{p\&s}$ ); thus, at this stage, the a priori information is set to

$$\Pr\{d_k = i\} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m, \quad (5)$$

i.e., the  $2^m$  combinations of the symbol  $d_k$  are equally likely. All thin signal paths, in Fig. 4, are channel outputs; thick paths represent a group of values of  $2^m$  logarithms of probabilities.

Each constituent decoder receives, at step  $k$ , a channel symbol  $y_k$  depending on  $m$  systematic bits, a parity bit produced by the relative encoder and an unknown parity

bit produced by the other encoder. By denoting  $b_k \in \{0,1\}$  the unknown parity bit at step  $k$ , the probability  $p(y_k|d_k = i, S_k = M, S_{k-1} = M')$ , part of the branch transition probability given in (2), is set to

$$\begin{aligned} & p(y_k|d_k = i, S_k = M, S_{k-1} = M') \\ &= \sum_{j \in \{0,1\}} p(y_k, b_k = j|d_k = i, S_k = M, S_{k-1} = M') \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \{0,1\}} p(y_k|d_k = i, S_k = M, S_{k-1} = M', b_k = j) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where it is assumed that:

$$\Pr\{b_k = j|d_k = i, S_k = M, S_{k-1} = M'\} = \Pr\{b_k = j\} = \frac{1}{2},$$

i.e., the unknown parity bit in the symbol is statistically independent of  $d_k$ ,  $S_k$  and  $S_{k-1}$ , and equally likely to be zero or one.

### III. Setting of equalizer parameters

In digital communications, the purpose of introducing an equalizer (Fig. 5) in the transmission chain is to reduce inter-symbol interference to allow recovery of the transmit symbols. It may be a simple linear filter (FIR filter as in this study) or a complex algorithm. The following equalizers are adopted in this paper:

- Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) equalizer: designs the filter to minimize  $E[|e|^2]$ , where  $e$  is the error signal, which is the filter output minus the transmitted signal [1].
- Zero Forcing (ZF) equalizer: approximates the inverse of the channel with a linear filter [1].




Figure 5. Channel and equalizer scheme.

As mentioned above, the adopted channel is a Proakis B selective Frequency channel [1]. Its impulse response is represented by the following coefficients:

$$\text{B channel: } 0.407 \quad 0.815 \quad 0.407,$$

and, the frequency response in Fig. 6. In order to show the hard selectivity of Proakis B channel, it is also plotted in Fig. 6 the frequency response corresponding to Proakis A channel.

### IV. Simulations and discussions

In this section, the simulation results of the transmission chain employing UTTCM and ZF or MMSE equalizer are given and discussed in sense of Bit Error Rate (BER).




Figure 6. Frequency response of Proakis A and B channels.

The parameters to be set in the transmission chain are: 1) the length of equalizer impulse response for ZF and MMSE equalizers, 2) the length of learning sequence for MMSE equalizer, 3) the spreading value ( $S$ ) of turbo encoder interleaver, and 4) the spreading values of channel interleavers ( $S$ -interleaver [9] and Matrix interleaver [10]).

#### IV.1. Setting of Equalizer Impulse Response Length

Fig. 7 illustrates the performance of UTTCM using MMSE equalizer with impulse response length  $L_e$  and learning sequence length ( $L_l$ ). Fig. 8 illustrates the performance of UTTCM using ZF equalizer with impulse response length  $L_e$ . For both figures, the simulations were done for  $SNR = 26$  dB and 4 decoding iterations.




Figure 7. Performance of UTTCM using MMSE equalizer according to the variation of Equalizer response length ( $L_e$ ) and learning sequence length ( $L_l$ ). Parameters of simulation:  $SNR = 26$  dB and 4 decoding iterations.

It can be concluded from Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 that  $L_e$  equals 9 for MMSE equalizer and 7 for ZF equalizer, and  $L_l$  equals 250 symbols for MMSE equalizer.




Figure 8. Performance of UTTCM using ZF equalizer according to the variation of Equalizer response length ( $L_e$ ). Parameters of simulation:  $SNR = 26$  dB and 4 decoding iterations.

#### IV.2. Setting of Encoder Interleaver Spread Value

In Fig. 9, it is shown the performance of UTTCM using ZF or MMSE equalizer. In this case, the value of encoder interleaver spread is investigated. It can be observed that for both equalizers the value of  $S$  is the same and equals 23; this means that the choice of the encoder interleaver is independent of the considered transmission channel.




Figure 9. Performance of UTTCM using ZF or MMSE equalizer according to the variation of encoder interleaver spread value ( $S$ ). Parameters of simulation:  $L_e(\text{ZF}) = 7$ ,  $L_e(\text{MMSE}) = 9$ ,  $L_l(\text{MMSE}) = 250$ ,  $SNR = 26$  dB and 4 decoding iterations.

#### IV.3. Setting of Channel Interleaver Spread Value

In this section, two interleavers are used. The  $S$ -random interleaver (two adjacent symbols are interleaved into two positions separated by at least  $S$  symbols) [9], and Matrix interleaver (data is written into matrix lines and read into columns) [10].




Figure 10. Performance of UTTCM using ZF equalizer according to the variation of channel interleaver spread value ( $S$ ). Parameters of simulation:  $L_e = 7$ ,  $SNR = 23$  dB and 4 decoding iterations.

The simulation result of UTTCM using ZF equalizer is given in Fig. 10 for both spread and matrix interleavers. It can be shown that the efficiency of matrix interleaver is observed for a spread value up to 15 comparatively to spread interleaver. Another remark can be made concerning spread interleaver, where better error floors are achieved compared to matrix interleaver.




Figure 11. Performance of UTTCM using MMSE equalizer according to the variation of channel interleaver spread value ( $S$ ). Parameters of simulation:  $L_e = 9$ ,  $L_l = 250$ ,  $SNR = 23$  dB and 4 decoding iterations.

The simulation result of UTTCM using MMSE equalizer is given in Fig. 11 for both spread and matrix interleavers. It can be concluded that the same observations, as for ZF equalizer, can be made. According to the obtained results, the best value of the spread is 28 for spread interleaver and 150 for matrix interleaver regardless of selected channel.

IV.4. Performance of UTTCM using optimized transmission chain parameters

In Fig. 12 and Fig. 13, the performances of UTTCM are given for 4 and 6 decoding iterations. From Fig. 12, it is clear that the MMSE equalizer achieves better performances in sense of BER at 4 decoding iterations (an SNR gain of 0.5 dB is observed). From Fig. 13, obtained for 6 decoding iterations, we can remark the superiority of S-random interleaver; where at high SNRs, the performance curve obtained using S-random interleaver outperforms that obtained using matrix interleaver.




Figure 12. BER Performance of UTTCM over Proakis B channel for 4 decoding iterations using the optimum simulation parameters.




Figure 13. BER Performance of UTTCM over Proakis B channel for 6 decoding iterations using the optimum simulation parameters.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, the setting of UTTCM codec and equalizer is made. ZF and MMSE equalizers are used. The considered parameters are the equalizer response length, the learning sequence length for MMSE equalizer, the spread value of encoder and channel interleavers. The simulations have shown that the use of

MMSE equalizer and S-random interleaver allows achieving good error floors. To improve the performance of the transmission chain using UTTCM, the number of decoding iterations is a good candidate.

References

- [1] J. G. Proakis, "Digital Communications", 4th ed., New York, Mc Graw-Hill, 2000.
- [2] A. Bassou and A. Djebbari, "Efficient turbo encoding scheme using unpunctured trellis-coded modulation codes", AEU International Journal of Electronics and Communications, vol. 61, No. 9, Oct. 2007, pp. 621–626.
- [3] T. Starr, J. M. Cioffi and J. Silverman, "Understanding digital subscriber line technology", Prentice-Hall PTR, 1999.
- [4] M. DRUTAROVSKY, "GSM channel equalization algorithm. Modern DSP coprocessor approach", Radioengineering, Vol. 8, No. 4, December 1999.
- [5] C. Fragouli and R. D. Wesel, "Turbo-encoder design for symbol-interleaved parallel concatenated trellis-coded modulation", IEEE Trans. on Commun., Vol. 49, No. 3, Mar. 2001, pp. 425–435.
- [6] P. Robertson and T. Woerz, "Bandwidth-efficient turbo trellis-coded modulation using punctured component codes", IEEE J. on Selected Areas in Commun., Vol. 16, No. 2, Feb. 1998, pp. 206–218.
- [7] G. Ungerboeck, "Channel coding with multilevel/phase signals", IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. IT-28, No. 1, Jan. 1982, pp. 55–67.
- [8] A. Bassou and A. Djabbari, "Contribution to the improvement of the performance of trellis-coded modulation", WSEAS Trans. on Commun., Vol. 6, No. 2, Feb. 2007, pp. 307–311.
- [9] S. Benedetto, D. Divsalar, G. Montorsi and F. Pollara, "Parallel concatenated trellis coded modulation", in Proc. ICC'96, Vol. 2, Jun. 1996, pp. 974–978.
- [10] S. L. Goff, A. Glavieux, C. Berrou, "Turbo-codes and high spectral efficiency modulation", in Proc. ICC'94, Vol. 2, May 1994, pp. 645–649.

---

**Journal of Scientific Research  
University of Bechar**

**P.O.Box 417 route de Kenadsa**

**08000 Bechar - ALGERIA**

**Tel: +213 (0) 49 81 90 24**

**Fax: +213 (0) 49 81 52 44**

**Editorial mail: [jrs.bechar@gmail.com](mailto:jrs.bechar@gmail.com)**

**Submission mail: [submission.bechar@gmail.com](mailto:submission.bechar@gmail.com)**

**Web: <http://www.univ-bechar.dz/jrs/>**

---