

# Contribution to the geotechnical study and estimation for differential settlements of Mila region soils (North-East Algeria)

Khoudir Khellaf<sup>1\*</sup> and Messaoud Hamimed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Sciences Nature and Life, Earth and Universe Sciences. University of Ghardaïa. BP 455, Bounoura, Ghardaia 47000, Algeria

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Sciences Exact, Sciences Nature and Life. University of Larbi Tebessi. Constantine Road, 12002-Tebessa- Algeria

**Abstract.** This work presents the geotechnical study to part of Mila region (Algeria); case of Marechou site which forms the southern extension of Mila city. It's characterized by steep slope terrains and clayey nature belonging to Mio-Plio-Quaternary age. These trainings constitute seat of lot geotechnical problems such as differential settlements, who's engenders damages in the constructions. This study has done to contribute and to solve this problems. Therefore, we have preceded chemical analysis, petrographic analysis according to the model of Czerminski and geotechnical study by analysis of various parameters. The results of chemical and petrographic analysis shows marl, clay and sometimes sandy soils predominantly low aggressiveness. The geotechnical analyzes have shown that these soils are medium clays with very saturated steep, slightly moist to moist, more or less compact and belong to three main families of clay minerals (montmorillonite, kaolinite and illite). The lithological nature (clays and marls) and morphology of land as well the presence of groundwater are the main factors in triggering ground movements, including differential settlements which present major risks. The combination of geological, morphological and geotechnical data allowed emphasizing the favorable and unfavorable areas to construction. The introduction of protection techniques against these risks is highly recommended.

Keywords: Geotechnical analysis, Petrographic analysis, Differential settlements, Mila, Algeria

#### **1. Introduction**

In the world, the soil is exposed to various natural hazards or risks such as erosion [1], contamination [2], salinity [3], slips [4], settlements [5], swelling [6]...etc.

Algeria has been the subject of a lot research's, we quote the effort of Azzouz 2015 on Tlemcen region [7], Benaissa 2003 on Constantine [8], Bellatrache 2013 on Ain Aminas region [9]and Athmania 2010, 2011, Afes 1996, Afès & Didier 2000 and Khellaf & al. 2015 on Mila region [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]...etc.

Mila region is part of Constantinois basin. It's characterized for the most part by gray, brown and black clays overlying gray marl of Mio-Plio-Quaternary age [15]. These soils have very large volume variations (differential settlements) [13, 7,16] when their water content varies on response to climatic conditions, the existence of aquifer and it's depth, the lithological nature, the mineralogical composition and the anthropogenic actions [16, 7]. These variations on volume affect the foundations working and constructions [5] in contact with these soils cause particularly intense damages on periods of drought [17, 18].

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail: Khoudir.2006@yahoo.fr (Author Khellaf khoudir).

Address: Faculty of Sciences Nature and Life, Earth and Universe Sciences. University of Ghardaïa. BP 455, Bounoura, Ghardaia 47000, Algeria

This work focuses on chemical analysis and analysis of geotechnical parameters for soils on Marechou region (Mila) mentioned above, in order to establish differential settlements map for this area and find adequate solutions for resulting technical problems encountered during the infrastructures implantations.

## 2. Material and Method

### 2.1.Study Riding

The Marechou region (Mila) is located at about 5 km of southern Mila city and geologically belongs to Neogene Mila-Constantine basin [15]. This zone is mainly formed by black marl or marly clays of Mio-Pliocene age [19]. The site is limited on the South by mountainous of Marechau ridge, on the East road to Marechou village, on the North Sennawa city and DNC and on the west road towards Oued el Atmania (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1: Geographical location of Marechou region (Mila).

#### 2.2. Litho-stratigraphic characteristics of studied region

#### 2.2.1.Neogene deposits

Marechou region is mainly characterized by detrital deposits (gravels, sands, conglomerates and clays), evaporitic deposits (gypsum and rock salts) and lake limestones [19]. On Mila Basin, these deposits are largely dominated by lagoon-marine (clays, marls and evaporites). It's dated from superior Miocene (Seravalian) to Paleocene [15].

### 2.2.2.Quaternary deposits

They cover previous formations and represented by shingles and blocks of several cubic meters on a mass of red clay. These deposits correspond to mass displacements on form of muddy flows [15]. According to this author, the most important part of Mila city is built on these flows.

#### 2.3.Sampling

Clays examined have been taken from different depths. These clays have been chosen according to the problems encountered in this region specially the instability of the area.

### 2.3.1.Samples Analysis

The analysis of samples has been performed as follows:

- Petrographic or chemical-weight analysis, which determines the percentages of carbonates, clays and sands, for specific weight of fine soil with a diameter less than 0.063 mm [20].
- Geotechnical analysis: This analysis is performed by determining various geotechnical parameters to soil of the two studied sites [21].

The interpretation of the results obtained is from the international bibliography.

## 3. Results and Discussion

#### **3.1.**Chemical analyzes

The results of these analyzes are grouped in the following table (Table 1).

| SC   | Depth     | Sulphates | SC    | Depth     | Sulphates |
|------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| be   | (m)       | (g/kg)    | SC    | (m)       | (g/kg)    |
| SC 1 | 2.70-3.00 | Traces    | SC 8  | 2.40-2.80 | 22.48     |
| SC 2 | 2.70-3.00 | 8.85      | SC 10 | 2.30-2.60 | Traces    |
|      | 4.70-5.00 | Traces    |       | 4.70-5.00 | Traces    |
| SC 5 | 2.90-2.90 | Traces    | SC 12 | 2.00-2.40 | 16.28     |
| SC 6 | 3.00-3.40 | Traces    |       |           |           |

 Table 1 : Chemical analysis results of samples examined [22].

The samples examined show a low sulphate rates (trace) for the majority results; except for the three samples (SC2, SC8 and SC12) which has a higher content. So, this soil presented a low aggressiveness for the majority of ground [23]. The presence of these sulphates may have several origins, for example gypsum formation dissolution [24, 25, 26, 27].



#### 3.2.Petrographic analysis (chemical-weight) and rocks classification

The results obtained are grouped and represented on the following ternary graphs (Fig. 2).

#### Fig. 2 : Ternary presentation of chemical-weight results analysis and sedimentary rocks

classifications according to Czerminski method. 1 . Profile 1 (South part of site), 2 . Profile 2 (north part of site), 1.Clay, 2.Marly Clay, 3. Clayey marl, 4. Marl, 5. Marly limestone, 6. Limestone, 7. Sandy clay, 8. Marly sandy clay, 9. Sandy marly limestone, 10. Sandy limestone, 11. Clayey sandstone, 12. Marly sandstone, 13. Limestoneous Sandstone. 14. Sandstone.

The petrographic analysis of the samples taken (Fig. 2) gave, in general, marly clays, marl-sandy clays and sandy clays. The levels of fine sediment components are 44.85 to 83.17% for clays, 2.34 to 30.33% for carbonates and 0.12 to 40.73% for sands. These analyzes show higher clay contents (>

75%) which makes it's possible to classify the Marechou site soils as clays, marl and sometimes sandy clays [28, 29], contents of silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>) is less than 80%; this percentage is the boundary between swelling and non-swelling soils [30, 31, 10, 11] and low to medium calcium carbonate contents (0 to 30%); which is a good index of soil mechanical resistance [32].

#### 3.3.Analysis of geotechnical parameters to the studied site

The following Fig.s and tables summarize the results obtained from these tests and their presentation, classification and interpretation based on the conceptions shown on the international bibliography.

| aa | Depth     | W     | Sr    | $\gamma_d$ | $\gamma_{\rm h}$ | WL     | Ip    | $\sigma_{c}$ | Cc   | Cg   | С     | φ        |
|----|-----------|-------|-------|------------|------------------|--------|-------|--------------|------|------|-------|----------|
| SC | (m)       | (%)   | (%)   | $(t/m^3)$  | $(t/m^3)$        | (%)    | (%)   | (bar)        | (%)  | (%)  | (bar) | ,<br>(°) |
| 1  | 2.7-3.00  | 19.18 | 94.72 | 1.73       | 2.06             | 56.28  | 35.19 | 1.43         | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.76  | 4        |
| 1  | 5.00-5.50 | 18.93 | 99.93 | 1.77       | 2.01             | 51.12  | 27.61 | 1.32         | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.52  | 4        |
|    | 2.7-3.00  | 19.64 | 95.91 | 1.72       | 2.06             | 65.32  | 41.63 | 2.43         | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.28  | 2        |
| 2  | 4.70-5.00 | 20.55 | 92.74 | 1.67       | 2.10             | 64.57  | 39.05 | 2.28         | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.53  | 2        |
|    | 6.00-6.60 | 17.94 | 89.70 | 1.73       | 2.04             | -      | -     | 1.50         | 0.18 | 0.07 | -     | -        |
| 3  | 2.20-2.50 | 17.65 | 93.47 | 1.77       | 2.08             | 60.95  | 36.31 | 1.93         | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.43  | 4        |
| 5  | 4.40-4.70 | 15.20 | 92.24 | 1.85       | 2.13             | 48.58  | 26.09 | 2.00         | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.33  | 4        |
|    | 2.20-2.70 | 21.37 | 95.66 | 1.67       | 2.02             | 43.93  | 23.83 | 1.52         | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.33  | 4        |
| 4  | 4.50-5.00 | 19.33 | 96.18 | 1.73       | 2.06             | 63.37  | 37.63 | 2.06         | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.11  | 2        |
|    | 6.60-7.00 | 19.33 | 96.18 | 1.73       | 2.06             | -      | -     | 1.03         | 0.20 | 0.06 | -     | -        |
| 5  | 2.60-2.90 | 16.58 | 96.59 | 1.82       | 2.12             | 42.34  | 24.26 | 1.40         | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.40  | 7        |
| 5  | 5.60-5.90 | 13.63 | 94.21 | 1.92       | 2.18             | 44.20- | 24.15 | 1.07         | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.11  | 4.2      |
| 6  | 3.00-3.40 | 19.10 | 89.89 | 1.70       | 2.02             | -      | -     | 2.43         | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.4   | 4        |
| 0  | 5.70-6.00 | 19.05 | 85.99 | 1.67       | 1.99             | 52.43  | 27.30 | 1.54         | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.10  | 4        |
| 8  | 2.40-2.80 | 22.80 | 93.62 | 1.61       | 1.98             | 50.95  | 26.33 | 150          | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.15  | 4        |
| 0  | 6.10-6.50 | 16.79 | 96.19 | 1.81       | 2.12             | 54.36  | 32.66 | 1.35         | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.11  | 5        |
| 0  | 2.00-2.40 | 20.66 | 96.61 | 1.69       | 2.04             | 59.19  | 32.90 | 1.35         | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.28  | 6        |
| 7  | 5.00-5.40 | 19.27 | 96.64 | 1.73       | 2.07             | 49.77  | 26.16 | 1.50         | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.10  | 8.2      |
|    | 2.30-2.60 | 21.98 | 94.52 | 1.64       | 2.00             | 64.68  | 38.55 | 1.21         | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.08  | 10       |
| 10 | 4.70-5.00 | 16.24 | 96.50 | 1.83       | 2.13             | 43.59  | 24.71 | 1.29         | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.34  | 10       |
|    | 6.80-7.00 | 19.17 | 92.69 | 1.71       | 2.04             | -      | -     | 1.21         | 0.16 | 0.05 | -     | -        |
| 11 | 3.60-4.00 | 17.75 | 94.46 | 1.77       | 2.09             | 57.31  | 33.89 | 1.30         | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.43  | 3.7      |
| 11 | 5.50-5.90 | 19.07 | 90.84 | 1.70       | 2.03             | 39.54  | 20.98 | 0.76         | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.17  | 2        |
| 12 | 2.00-2.40 | 23.81 | 96.18 | 1.60       | 1.98             | -      | -     | 1.35         | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.08  | 5        |
| 12 | 5.00-5.40 | 18.90 | 93.48 | 1.73       | 2.05             | 61.71  | 36.90 | 2.28         | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.15  | 4        |

**Table 2**: Physical characteristics to soils of Marechau site [33].

The Marechou site soils have water contents (w) from 13.63 to 29.85%, saturation degree (Sr) from 85.99 to 99.97%, wet densities ( $\gamma$ h) from 1.88 to 2.18 t/m3, dry densities ( $\gamma$ d) from 1.48 to 1.92 t/m3, Atterberg limits gave WL values of 39.54 to 79.46% and Ip from 20.98 to 49.44% [35, 33], their representation on the Casagrande diagram and abacus shows that these clays are, on the one hand, positioned above the line A (Fig. 3), on the other hand, are between the line A and the line U (Fig. 4). These results make it's possible to classify the soils analyzed as medium to steep clay very saturated by water and slightly wet to wet, calyey nature [32, 36, 37, 38], exhibit a more compact and sometimes more or less loose behavior [38], are on the whole little plastic (AP) to very plastics (AT) and belongs to three main families of clay minerals (montmorillonite, kaolinite and illite) (Fig. 4).

From this results obtained it's noted that the values of limits are largest enough that the norms and clays studied are swelling [39].



Fig. 3 : Position of Marechou clay on the Casagrande plasticity diagram.



Fig. 4 : Position of Marechou clay on the Casagrande plasticity abacus.

Sieving granulometric analysis (Table 3) shows that: the soil samples analyzed gave a higher percentage of fines  $(80\mu)$  from 57 to 87% greater than 50% (LCPC) and low to very low level of coarse elements (sand and gravel) from 0 to 17%. According classifications given; these soils are clays and loamy clays [14, 13, 37, 36, 38].

| Parametres       | S                   | C3 | SC4      | SC10     |  |
|------------------|---------------------|----|----------|----------|--|
| Depth (m)        | 1.20-1.80 1.80-3.00 |    | 3.0-3.40 | 1.0-1.40 |  |
| % Gravels        | 3                   | 17 | 0        | 1        |  |
| % Coarse sand    | 8                   | 11 | 0        | 3        |  |
| % Fine sand      | 12                  | 10 | 13       | 14       |  |
| % clay and limon | 73                  | 54 | 87       | 82       |  |

Table 3 : Granulometric analysis results from some core holes on Marechou site [33].

The triaxial test of UU and CD type had a cohesion (C) less than 1 bar (0.08 to 0.76 bar), an internal friction angle ( $\phi$ ) of 2° to 10° (UU) and average of 13.86 to 40.54° for (CU). The oedometric test gave an underconsolidation stress ( $\sigma$ c) from 1.03 to 3.22 bar, the compressibility coefficient (Cc) from 0.11 to 0.32 and swelling index (Cg) from 0.05 to 0.092. The low cohesion and internal friction angle (UU) due to the small percentage of fine elements and the high percentage of sands, the low cohesion and the average internal friction angle are due to the high proportion of fine sands in clays [40, 34]. This shows that all facies analyzed are medium to steep clays and coherents [38, 26]; the results of oedometric test show stiff clay [38] medium overconsolidated, medium to highly compressible and medium to high swelling potential [41, 39]. The parameters variations seem to confirm heterogeneity of the terrain [27].

#### 3.3.4. Calculation of foundation settlements from laboratory tests

Penetrometrical soundings that have been carried out gave us peak resistance minimum values from 16 to 70 bars. According to this result we recommend the superficial foundations (strip or square footing). Calculation of settlement for these foundations is done according to conceptions shown on the international bibliography.

• For strip footing

If we have strip footing there is no influence of foundation shape and in the worst case the groundwater in the studied site is at 2m deep (Z) so, we show it's influence by checking the following condition : 0 < D < 1,5B (D is the anchoring foundations equal 2m). For a foundation of width B = 2m so, the condition is verified and there is influence of groundwater. In this case the general formula of limit stress and admissible stress are: Second point.

| $q_{L} = ((\gamma' + D/1, 5B)(\gamma_{2} - \gamma')).(0.5BN_{\gamma}) + N_{q}(\gamma_{1}D_{1} + \gamma_{2}D_{2})$ | (1) |
|---|-----|
| $q_{ad} = (\gamma_1 H_1 + \gamma_2 H_2) + (q_L - (\gamma_1 H_1 + \gamma_2 H_2))/3$                                | (2) |
|   |     |

• For square footing

For the square footing there is an influence of foundation shape and the groundwater (which is at 2m depth). In this case the general formula of limit stress and admissible stress becomes. And so on.

 $q_{L}=0,5BN_{\gamma 2}(\gamma'+(D/1,5B)(\gamma_{2}-\gamma')(1-(0,2B/D))+(\gamma_{1}H_{1})+\gamma_{2}H_{2})N_{q2}+(10,2B/D)N_{c1}$ (3)

| $q_{ad} = (\gamma_1 H_1 + \gamma_2 H_2) + (q_L - (\gamma_1 H_1 + \gamma_2 H_2))/3$ | (4)  |
|--|--|
| For that the calculation of settlements formula ( $\Delta H_i$ ) for               | the overconsolidated soils is the following. |

| $\Delta H_i = H_i(Cc/(1+e_o))\log((\sigma_o + \Delta \sigma_z)/(\sigma_c'))$ | (5) |
|--|-----|
| and for underconsolidated soils is:  |     |

$$\Delta H_{i} = H_{i}(C_{g}/(1+e_{0}))\log(\Delta\sigma_{z}/\sigma v_{o})$$
(6)

The results obtained are regrouped in the tables and the Fig.s below.

| South Part |             |                            |            | North Part |             |                            |              |
|------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Doromàtros | $q_{\rm L}$ | $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ | $\Delta H$ | Doromotros | $q_{\rm L}$ | $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ | $\Delta H_i$ |
| Farametres | $(KN/m^2)$  | $(KN/m^2)$                 | (cm)       | Farametres | $(KN/m^2)$  | $(KN/m^2)$                 | (cm)         |
| C1         | 855,9       | 381,33                     | 16.29      | SC1        | 683,86      | 304,15                     | 10.6         |
| SC2        | 604,94      | 268,95                     | 19.654     | SC2        | 611,9       | 272                        | 15.44        |
| SC3        | 686,5       | 305,34                     | 6.82       | SC3        | 656,21      | 291,74                     | 8.14         |
| SC4        | 875,55      | 390,16                     | 11.20      | SC4        | 610,97      | 271,3                      | 8.24         |
| SC5        | 603,5       | 268,3                      | 24.38      | SC5        | 755         | 336,3                      | 13.45        |
| SC6        | 1229,47     | 505,2                      | 19.11      | SC6        | 1059,5      | 435,02                     | 14.65        |
| SC8        | 704,41      | 313,47                     | 4.98       | SC7        | 834,18      | 350,86                     | 16.96        |
| SC9        | 612,74      | 272,46                     | 9.15       | SC8        | 802,21      | 357,22                     | 20.6         |
| SC10       | 718,64      | 302,05                     | 17.92      | SC9        | 665,83      | 295,98                     | 24.41        |
| SC11       | 554,25      | 246,25                     | 27.75      | SC10       | 355,54      | 187,26                     | 26.2         |
| SC12       | 595,48      | 264,57                     | 22.88      | SC11       | 740,91      | 329,77                     | 27.66        |
| SC13       | 487,11      | 216,17                     | 16.86      | SC12       | 653,49      | 290,56                     | 8.13         |
| SC14       | 720,28      | 320,44                     | 14.35      |            |             |                            |              |

**Table 4** : Calculation Results of qL, qad and  $\Delta$ Hi (Strip footing).

**Table 5** : Calculation Results of qL, qad and  $\Delta$ Hi (square footing).

| South Part |            |                            |       | North Part   |             |                            |              |  |
|------------|------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|
| Parametres | $q_L$      | $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ | ΔHi   | Doromotros   | $q_{\rm L}$ | $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ | $\Delta H_i$ |  |
|            | $(KN/m^2)$ | $(KN/m^2)$                 | (cm)  | rataillettes | $(KN/m^2)$  | $(KN/m^2)$                 | (cm)         |  |
| SC1        | 45,3       | 141,33                     | 15.95 | SC1          | 83,24       | 159,44                     | 11.69        |  |
| SC2        | 20,59      | 87,89                      | 13.78 | SC2          | 50,41       | 118,4                      | 10.99        |  |
| SC3        | 63,88      | 140,394                    | 7.54  | SC3          | 139,02      | 212,02                     | 15.84        |  |
| SC4        | 124,48     | 222,79                     | 4.45  | SC4          | 38,84       | 106,48                     | 6.80         |  |
| SC5        | 63,84      | 131                        | 17.42 | SC5          | 27,63       | 112,2                      | 9.30         |  |
| SC6        | 98,41      | 193,8                      | 20.30 | SC6          | 85,67       | 167,55                     | 10.38        |  |
| SC8        | 89,1       | 167,78                     | 24.82 | SC7          | 43,23       | 116,04                     | 10.9         |  |
| SC9        | 51,85      | 120,06                     | 25.90 | SC8          | 27,08       | 116,9                      | 14.27        |  |
| SC10       | 13,47      | 75,98                      | 12.28 | SC9          | 52,74       | 126,79                     | 17.32        |  |
| SC11       | 76,37      | 137,87                     | 19.78 | SC10         | 108,88      | 177,63                     | 18           |  |
| SC12       | 30,513     | 96,59                      | 15.82 | SC11         | 43,63       | 126,44                     | 18.14        |  |
| SC13       | 34,63      | 88,43                      | 11.52 | SC12         | 14,89       | 87,62                      | 15,1         |  |
| SC14       | 40,061     | 120,41                     | 9.12  |              |             |                            |              |  |



.Fig. 5 : Spatial variability map of differentials settlements under strip footing.



Fig. 6 : Spatial variability map of differentials settlements under square footing.

The values of differentials settlements ( $\Delta$ Hi) recorded at Marechou site are very high, ranged between 6.82 to 27.75 cm for strip footing and from 4.45 to 25.90 cm for square footing (Table 4 and 5). The Interpolation and representation of these values on spatial variability maps (Fig. 5 and 6) shows that the majority soils of this region are presented very large volume variations and have tendency to settle.

These differentials settlements are greater than 5 cm either under strip or square footing and occupy the whole of studied region. The zones where these settlements ( $\Delta$ Hi < 5cm) are minimal and occupy reduced area on maps (Fig. 5 and 6). The values recorded under strip or square footings in our site studied, appeared to be identical and are mostly greater than 5 cm. Therefore, they are not admissible so, buildings and individual houses are in danger [18, 38, 5]. This phenomenon is generated in this region when their water content varies in response to climatic conditions, hydrostatic effect of groundwater [7], the difficulty of draining water from these soils, draining the groundwater [16] and the presence or abundance of fine clay minerals on soils; such as the smectite which is very sensitive to the phenomenon of shrinkage- swelling, especially in semi-arid zone, which can manifest itself as differential settlements of foundations [10].

### 3. Conclusion

The Marechou region (Mila), which includes the studied site, belongs to Neogene basin of Mila-Constantine; characterized by tellian formations mostly formed by marl and clay of Mio-Pliocene age. The chemical analysis of site soils shows low to very low levels of sulphates with low aggressiveness. The petrographic analysis of these soils yielded marly clays, marl-sandy clays and sandy clays. Laboratory tests make it possible to classify the Marechou soils as medium to steep clay that are very saturated by water, slightly moist to wet, not very plastic (PA) to very plastic (AT), exhibit a more compact behavior, sometimes more or less loose, uniformly sized and spread. These clays are consistent, overconsolidated, medium to highly compressible and medium to high swelling potential. As a result, these clays also have large volume variations and show very high differentials settlements values (greater than 5 cm). These settlements affect working foundations and constructions in contact with these soils so, they are the cause of particularly intense damage in periods of drought.

### 4. Recommandations

Once the problem occurred on study area, we concluded that the dwellings installed in this site will be uninhabitable because settlements will increase under permanent loads and operating expenses which threatens life human, for that we recommend:

- A drainage system to accelerate consolidation.
- Continuous monitoring of settlements until stabilization.
- If the settlement is excessive, this problem must be solved either by strengthening the soil or by modifying the anchoring of foundations (changing the type of foundation) or modifying the stress imposed by the structure to increase the carrying capacity of foundation
- Drainage upstream of site with rainwater collection
- Maintain the level of groundwater below the anchorage of foundations by performing a peripheral drainage.
- To prohibit the implantation of trees near to device constructions

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are most grateful to Dr. SADINE Salah Eddine (university of Ghardaïa) and Mme SEBAAI Hadda (Mas) and Mme SEBAAI Nedjoua (Ing) for their assistance in the preparation of this article.

#### 6. References

- [1] A. Benguerai and K. Benabdeli. Assessment of Soil Vulnerability to Water Erosion Using GIS and Remote Sensing in the atershed of Fergoug, North-West of Algeria. J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci. 2017, 7(3), 166-172.
- [2] S. Harjinder, G.S, Brar and G. S. Mudahar, Evaluation of the Characteristics of Masonry Bricks Containing Waste Fly Ash. *J. Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci.* 2016, 6(6), 1-7.
- [3] F. Benbrahim, M., Benslama, A., Kemassi, S., Darem, I., Hamel, F., Chikhi and M.T. Halilat, Évaluation de la durabilité de la céréaliculture sous pivot par l'étude de la salinisation du sol dans la région d'Ouargla. *Ciencia Técnica Vitivinicola*. 2016, 31(5), 107-123.
- [4] Candide L., 2012- Les glissements de terrain des versants côtiers du Pays d'Auge (Calvados) : Morphologie, fonctionnement et gestion du risque. *Thèse de doctorat, université de Caen Basse-Normandie*.
- [5] Forni M., 1981- Fondations spéciale et reprise sous-œuvre. Eyrolles (Ed.), Paris, France.
- [6] Gaombalet J., 2004.Le gonflement des argiles et ses effets sur les ouvrages souterrains de stockage. *Thèse de doctorat, École Polytechnique*.
- [7] F.Z. Azzouz. Stabilisation des sols argileux de la région de Tlemcen par les sels. *Revue El Wahat pour les Recherches et les Études*. 8(2), 2015, 108 117.
- [8] Benaissa A., 2003- Glissement de terrain, calcul de stabilité. O.P.U.(Ed.) (Alger).
- [9] Bellatrache Y., 2012- Comportement des argiles naturelles et traitées d'Ain Amenas en fonction de la teneur en eau. *Thèse de Magister, Université Kasdi Merbah Ouargla.*.
- [10] Atmania D., 2010- Minéralogie des argiles et phénomène de retrait-gonflement dans le bassin de Mila (Nord Constantinois). *Thèse Doctorat, Université de Constantine*.
- [11] D. Atmania, A., Benaïssa and M. Bouassida. Géologie et géotechnique de quelques formations superficielles du Nord-Ouest Constantinois, Algérie. *Afrique Science*. 2011, 7(1), 105–115.
- [12] Afes M., 1996- Contribution à la détermination des paramètres de gonflement des sols et étude de l'argile de Mila (Algérie) traitée à la chaux. *Thèse de Doctorat, INSA de Lyon*.
- [13] M. Afès and G. Didier. Stabilisation des Sols Gonflants : Cas d'une Argile en Provenance de Mila (Algérie). *Bulletin of Engineering and the Environnement*. 2000, 59(1), 75-83.
- [14] K. Khellaf, F., Zeroual N., Sebaai and W.Aziz. L'effet de l'ajout du sable de Sidi Abd el Aziz (Jijel) sur le potentiel du gonflement des argiles de Mila (région d'el kherba). *ElWahat pour les Recherches et les Études*. 2015, 8(2), 57–77.
- [15] Coiffait P.E., 1992- Un bassin post- nappe dans son cadre structural: l'exemple du bassin de Constantine (Algérie Nord- Orientale). *Thèse doctorat, Université de Nancy*.
- [16] W. Chettah, Investigation des propriétés minéralogiques et géomécaniques des terrains en mouvement dans la ville de Mila « Nord-Est d'Algérie ». *Thèse Magister*. *Université d'el Hadj Lakhdar Batna –*. 2009.
- [17] D. Youssef Abdel Massih, and A.H.Soubra. Effet de la variabilité spatiale du sol dans l'étude du comportement des fondations superficielles filantes. *Revue Française de Géotechnique*. 2010, 130(1), 41-50.
- [18] B.R.G.M., 2009- Rapport final, « Analyse du Retrait-Gonflement et de ses Incidences sur les Constructions ». *Projet ARGIC. B.R.G.M/RP 57011-France.*
- [19] Remmache I., 2006- Potentiel en substance utiles non métalliques (gypse et sel gemme) du bassin de Mila (Algérie Nord orientale). Thèse Magister. *Géologie des Substances utiles, Université de Constantine, 80 p.*
- [20] Vatan A., 1967- Manuel de sédimentologie. TECHNIP (Éd.).
- [21] Khellaf K. and Bitat F., 2006- Étude géotechnique et géologique du site des 185 logements (Mila) et proposition de solutions aux problèmes posés. *Mémoire d'Ingéniorat, Université de Jijel*,
- [22] Laboratoire des Travaux Publique de l'Est (LTPE).,2010- Rapport d'étude géotechnique du site de 300 logements LSL RHP T09 2ème tranche. *Mila. Dossier 100/DRC/RM/43ES/25*.

- [23] Norme Française NF P 18-011., 1992- Sols : Reconnaissance et Essais Classification des environnements agressifs. *Homologuée Juin, 1992, Bull, liaison labo. P., et Ch., 190.*
- [24] Zebouchi H., 2008- Étude géologique et géotechniques des zones instables du versant Est du barrage de Beni Haroun. Wilaya de Mila. *Thèse Magister. Université de Jijel.*
- [25] R.S Rollings, J.P. Burkes and M.P. Rollings, Sulfate Attack on Cement-Stabilized Sand, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering. 1999, 125(5), 364-372.
- [26] Norme Française NF P 94-074., Sols. Reconnaissance et Essais Essai à l'appareil triaxial de révolution -Appareillage - Préparation des éprouvettes - Essais (UU) non consolidé non drainé - Essai (CU + U) consolidé non drainé avec mesure de pression interstitielle – Essai (CD) consolidé drainé.
- [27] Hazmoune H., 2008- Approches des études géotechniques liées à la pathologie des ouvrages. Étude de cas des 185 logements de Mila. *Thèse Magister, Université de Constantine, 85p.*
- [28] Lérau J., 2005- Cours de géotechniques -Chapitre I-, Institut des sciences appliquées de Toulouse. France.
- [29] Khellaf K., 2009- Cadre géologique, aspect pétro-minéralogique et géotechnique du complexe argilomarneux de la région Nord-Ouest de la ville de Mila. Boufouh (Mila-Algérie (Nord orientale). Thèse Magister, Université de Cheikh Larbi Tébessi-Tébessa, 156 p.
- [30] A. Hachichi Fleureau J.M. Caractérisation et stabilisation de quelques sols gonflants d'Algérie. *Revue Française de Géotechnique*. 1999, 86(1), 37-51.
- [31] A. Hachichi, A. Benaissa S. Bourokba and M.Boudia, Étude de la stabilisation chimique des sols gonflants de la région d'Oran, Proc. 13th C.R.A. L'implication de la géotechnique dans le développement des infrastructures en Afrique. Marrakech. Marco. 8-11 Dec 2003. 371-379.
- [32] Cordary D., 1994- Mécanique des sols. Lavoisier (Ed.), Tec et Doc. Paris.
- [33] Laboratoire de Béton et de Mécanique de Sol (LBM-Sol)., 2014- Rapport d'étude géotechnique –Plan d'occupation de sol № 9 Mil. (2ème et 3ème phase)-. Dossier 32/2012.
- [34] Zouaoui S., 2008- Étude géologique et géotechnique des glissements de terrains dans le bassin néogène de Mila. Glissement de Sibari. *Thèse Magister. Université de de El Hadj Lakhdar, Batna,*
- [35] Laboratoire d'Études Géotechniques de Sols et Fondations (Aiche Géo-Sol)., 2013- Étude géotechnique du POS AU15 sur une surface de 40 ha. Commune de Mila–Phase de reconnaissance phase de synthèse IIIwilaya de Mila. Dossier NEG43/12/2013.
- [36] Philipponnat G., 1979- Fondations et ouvrages en Terre. Eyrolles (Ed.) Paris.
- [37] Costet J. et Sanglerat G., 1981- Cours pratique de mécanique des sols. T1 Plasticité et calcul des tassements. *Dunod (Ed.) Paris,*
- [38] Philipponnat G. and Hubert B., 2003- Fondations et ouvrages en terre. Eyrolles (Ed.) Paris.
- [39] Bouhenouna A., 2008- Étude géologique et géotechnique de la digue principale K1 du barrage réservoir d'Oued El Kaïm, wilaya de Mila (Algérie). *Thèse Magister, Univ. Jijel.*
- [40] V. Dakshanamurthy and V. Raman, A simple method of identifying an expansive soil. Soils and Foundations. Japanese Soc. Of Soil Mech. And Foundation Eng. 1973, 13(1), 97-104
- [41] Norme Française NF P94-090-1., 1996- Sols. Reconnaissance et Essais Essai oedométrique Partie 1. Essai de compressibilité sur matériaux fins quasi saturés avec chargement par paliers.